

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, September 12, 2017 12:19 PM
To: Regen, Licensing
[REDACTED]
Subject: Objection

Dear Sir or Madam,

we would like to object to the applications 859851-The Barrel Project, 80 Druid Street and 860091-Speckmobile, 76 Druid Street.

The reason is that there would be more nuisance and noise from masses of people who eat and drink **a lot of alcohol** around the addresses at stake.

The current licencing in this area has already created nuisance and noise on the weekends and in the evenings. People scream around and leave lots of rubbish. This creates an inappropriate environment for bringing up a family. Our baby constantly already wakes up reacting to the loud screams of drunk people in the streets. The problem is especially with alcohol, which people start drinking right after breakfast which produces the noise.

What also bothers us is potentially more steam and smoke from burnt meat. Not everyone eats meat and we do not want to have our windows closed all the time, to protect ourselves from this pollution.

Then there would be more car traffic, more cabs who need to pick up these drunk people.

What promised to be an interesting food market would turn even more into a one large pub.

Sincerely yours,

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Party 2

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

London

SE1 3FH

Friday 8 September 2017

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing to object to two licencing applications:

Application 859851 – The Barrrell Project, 80 Druid Street

Application 860091 – Speckmobile, 76 Druid Street

The serving of alcohol in these establishments until midnight or

later, 7 days a

week would lead to increased noise, anti-social behaviour and litter

in a mainly

residential area where there are many families with children. The area

is already

full of litter at weekends due to the Druid Street/Maltby Street

market and most

bars and restaurants along Ropewalk close their doors at 22:30.

Yours faithfully,

[REDACTED]

Party 3

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, September 11, 2017 7:32 AM
To: Regen, Licensing
Subject: Objection to license applications 859851 and 860091

Dear Sir / Madam

I would like to object to two proposed licensed premises on Druid Street / Ropewalk, these are:

Application 859851 - The Barrell Project, 80 Druid Street
Application 860091 - Speckmobile, 76 Druid Street

As these premises have entrances that back onto the road that my flat overlooks (Ropewalk) I believe it would make an already bad situation intolerable.

As well as living beside the train line there are a number of bars and restaurants on Ropewalk that are open until 10pm and later on Wednesdays to Sundays.

So far, I have not objected to the late opening of the existing restaurants and bars as I enjoy them myself but my worry is that granting a license to the new premises and for such late hours would open the door to other businesses applying to open later.

Many thanks,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, September 05, 2017 5:51 PM
To: Regen, Licensing
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Objection to application made by Speckmobile no. 860091

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

5th September 2017

Objection to application made by Speckmobile no. 860091

Introduction:

I object to the application made by Speckmobile to serve alcohol from 76 Druid Street from 9AM to 23.00 daily on the following grounds:

Objection 1—Prevention of public nuisance.

Referring to the 'Southwark Statement of licensing policy 2016-2020', Maltby Street and surroundings clearly fall outside the defined Strategic Cultural areas and Major Town centre described in Appendix B of the licensing policy. Currently, Tower Bridge Rd. separates the busy licensed areas West of Tower Bridge Rd around Bermondsey High St. to London Bridge and North to the river from a totally peaceful residential area to the East along Maltby street and the surrounds. Residents are able to enjoy a good quality of life and choose the Maltby St area for that reason. There are only a few licensed premises in the near vicinity and they a) serve food and b) close well before 00.30. In addition, Lassco recently applied to extend their license and there were a number of conditions that were attached to this (serving of food, dispersal policy) which are not included in this application.

The Maltby Street/Druid Street/Ropewalk area and around is generally deserted after evening rush hour and very quiet. In the evenings when events were held at Lassco in their previous premises, people congregated on Maltby Street smoking and chatting as well as arguing after drinking. There was also the noise from people coming and going in taxis. The

smoke drifts up to the flats, all of which have a balcony on the front. This has interfered with the quiet enjoyment of residents.

The current application is to serve alcohol from the premises until 003.0 with drinks served outside which is a major concern. Clearly this is going to cause considerable public nuisance to the flats in Bermondsey Central and those opposite in The Arc. This would be a completely different type of venue to the current quiet restricted licensed premises mentioned above. There would be street noise all evening

a. from people coming and going in taxis

b. from people standing outside smoking, chatting. As the evening draws on and people drink more this will get louder and louder.

c. particularly at midnight and closing, people will be hanging around waiting for taxis and talking loudly

In the past, when Lassco's was operating at 41 Maltby Street and open until 10pm, people were still making noise on the street until 10.45pm and so we expect disturbance until around 00.45am. That is what happens with this type of proposed venue. Smoking is another problem for the residents. People will congregate at the entrance on Maltby Street to smoke and as mentioned, the smoke drifts up to the flats above and is most unpleasant for non-smokers living above.

Objection 2 –Prevention of Crime and Disorder.

1. The occupants of the flats at 41 Maltby St have had a number of letters from the management company detailing thefts from the flats and bicycles from the garage. We had a new bicycle stolen from a locked unit in the garage.

A large licensed premises on the ground floor as proposed with entertainment and selling alcohol until Midnight with people coming and going will clearly attract and provide a cover for thieves who can blend with the visitors. Currently, Maltby Street is more or less deserted in the evening and anyone acting suspiciously is obvious.

2. Drinkers are obviously going to consume a large volume of alcohol in the venue proposed with long opening hours. There have already been problems with people who have obviously had a lot to drink arguing and shouting on leaving the premises at 10pm.

This disorder can only increase with extended hours.

Objection 3 –Public safety.

There would be a very large increase in the number of taxis, mostly diesel, stopping and waiting outside the venue which will cause an increase in diesel pollutants in the area such as nitrogen oxides and particulate matter. The World Health Organisation has issued a warning that diesel fumes are a major cancer risk. Asthma UK states that the tiny particles found in Diesel fumes aggravates Asthma and COPD (Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease).

To summarise, Maltby Street and the surroundings are currently a quiet residential area. There is already ample provision of licensed premises on the other side of Tower Bridge Rd., and around Bermondsey High St. To grant this license to the Speckmobile will change the area significantly and will clearly cause a public nuisance to the residents of local flats. There is also a strong possibility that there will be an increase in crime and disorder in the vicinity due to alcohol consumption. There will also be a decrease in air quality due to diesel pollutants.

For these reasons, I strongly object to the extension of this license. (860091)

Yours sincerely,

██████████

Party 5

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, September 05, 2017 5:23 PM
To: Regen, Licensing
Subject: Re: Objection to application made by Speckmobile no. 860091

Flat 61
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

5th September 2017

Objection to application made by Speckmobile no. 860091

Introduction:

I object to the application made by Speckmobile to serve alcohol from 76 Druid Street from 9AM to 23.00 daily on the following grounds:

Objection 1—Prevention of public nuisance.

Referring to the 'Southwark Statement of licensing policy 2016-2020', Maltby Street and surroundings clearly fall outside the defined Strategic Cultural areas and Major Town centre described in Appendix B of the licensing policy. Currently, Tower Bridge Rd. separates the busy licensed areas West of Tower Bridge Rd around Bermondsey High St. to London Bridge and North to the river from a totally peaceful residential area to the East along Maltby street and the surrounds. Residents are able to enjoy a good quality of life and choose the Maltby St area for that reason. There are only a few licensed premises in the near vicinity and they a) serve food and b) close well before 00.30. In addition, Lassco recently applied to extend their license and there were a number of conditions that were attached to this (serving of food, dispersal policy) which are not included in this application.

The Maltby Street/Druid Street/Ropewalk area and around is generally deserted after evening rush hour and very quiet. In the evenings when events were held at Lassco in their previous premises, people congregated on Maltby Street smoking and chatting as well as arguing after drinking. There was also the noise from people coming and going in taxis. The smoke drifts up to the flats, all of which have a balcony on the front. This has interfered with the quiet enjoyment of residents.

The current application is to serve alcohol from the premises until 003.0 with drinks served outside which is a major concern. Clearly this is going to cause considerable public nuisance to the flats in Bermondsey Central and those opposite in The Arc. This would be a completely different type of venue to the current quiet restricted licensed premises mentioned above. There would be street noise all evening

- a. from people coming and going in taxis
- b. from people standing outside smoking, chatting. As the evening draws on and people drink more this will get louder and louder.
- c. particularly at midnight and closing, people will be hanging around waiting for taxis and talking loudly

In the past, when Lassco's was operating at 41 Maltby Street and open until 10pm, people were still making noise on the street until 10.45pm and so we expect disturbance until around 00.45am. That is what happens with this type of proposed venue. Smoking is another problem for the residents. People will congregate at the entrance on Maltby Street to smoke and as mentioned, the smoke drifts up to the flats above and is most unpleasant for non-smokers living above.

Objection 2 –Prevention of Crime and Disorder.

1. The occupants of the flats at 41 Maltby St have had a number of letters from the management company detailing thefts from the flats and bicycles from the garage. We had a new bicycle stolen from a locked unit in the garage.

A large licensed premises on the ground floor as proposed with entertainment and selling alcohol until Midnight with people coming and going will clearly attract and provide a cover for thieves who can blend with the visitors. Currently, Maltby Street is more or less deserted in the evening and anyone acting suspiciously is obvious.

2. Drinkers are obviously going to consume a large volume of alcohol in the venue proposed with long opening hours. There have already been problems with people who have obviously had a lot to drink arguing and shouting on leaving the premises at 10pm.

This disorder can only increase with extended hours.

Objection 3 –Public safety.

There would be a very large increase in the number of taxis, mostly diesel, stopping and waiting outside the venue which will cause an increase in diesel pollutants in the area such as nitrogen oxides and particulate matter. The World Health Organisation has issued a warning that diesel fumes are a major cancer risk. Asthma UK states that the tiny particles found in Diesel fumes aggravates Asthma and COPD (Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease).

To summarise, Maltby Street and the surroundings are currently a quiet residential area. There is already ample provision of licensed premises on the other side of Tower Bridge Rd., and around Bermondsey High St. To grant this license to the Speckmobile will change the area significantly and will clearly cause a public nuisance to the residents of local flats. There is also a strong possibility that there will be an increase in crime and disorder in the vicinity due to alcohol consumption. There will also be a decrease in air quality due to diesel pollutants.

For these reasons, I strongly object to the extension of this license. (860091)

Kind regards,

██████████

Party 6

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, August 19, 2017 9:30 AM
To: Regen, Licensing
Subject: Objection to licence application 860091 - Speckmobile

Dear sirs

I wish to make an objection with regards to the above licence application for The Barrel Project, 76 Railway Arch Druid Street SE1 2HQ – Application number 860091

I am a resident of [REDACTED], at the rear of Arch 76 Druid Street. The explosion of licenced premises in the area is adversely affecting both the residential character and safety of the area, should this licence application be granted. The litter in and out of the rubbish containers is spoiling the area and is unpleasant to say the least, not to mention that the number of additional rubbish containers is unsightly. Moreover, the arches between Maltby Street and Tanner and between Millstream Road and Druid Street are being used as rubbish dumps, smoking areas and public urinals, all of which pose a health and safety risk. None of the premises in Ropewalk have toilet facilities. The stink some mornings is unacceptable for a modern city, harking back to the London slums of old.

Bermondsey Central is occupied by professional working people and young families who should be able to walk to and from school/work without being exposed to this unpleasantness.

Living at the back of the building, there is already noise pollution from the current licence holders whose customers do not leave quietly at closing time of 10pm. Mostly the noise doesn't die down until much beyond 10:30pm especially in Summer.

Providing additional licences to sell alcohol both on and off premises as outlined in the application WILL exacerbate the current issues and turn the area into a hotspot for late night revellers, adversely affecting the quality of life and also the resale potential of the properties in the area.

I am further concerned by the potential of drug related crime and prostitution associated with the extended opening times beyond normal public houses closing time, which would mean the noise and nuisance levels would increase at this particularly critical time. I have some evidence that this will be the case, as since the Doodle bar opened in Druid Street some months ago, and the more recent extended activity at Lassco, there often is evidence of sex and drug paraphernalia underneath the arch leading from Tanner St to Maltby Street, making me feel uneasy when walking in the area after 10pm.

Many of the residents of 41 Maltby Street and other properties nearby have small children and noise late at night (especially after 10pm every night) will impact on their schooling. The exposure to litter and potential drunken or anti-social behaviour, would adversely affect their safety.

Whereas I am a full supporter of developing the area into a vibrant living/working environment, the unprecedented increase in licence applications for the arches in Ropewalk/Maltby Street/Druid Street poses the risk that the character of the area will change into one that is prone to crime and unruly behaviour. This is a residential area, not Soho! I would respectfully request that you take these objections into account when considering this application.

Best regards,

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]